

MARGINAL SEQUENCES IN PHONOTACTIC THEORY

What's the **PRIMARY DISTINCTION** in the phonotactic grammar? • Previous approaches: LICITNESS (Hyman 1975)

	LICIT Illicit	ATTESTED spot sphere	UNATTESTED blick bnick	
Lici	t ⇒ Atte	sted	llicit ⇒ Unatte Attested ⇒ marginal	este

• Our approach: **ATTESTATION**



EVIDENCE FOR OUR MODEL

BORROWINGS: not repaired

	Spanish	Japanese	Eng
German: /pfitse/	/fajser/	/фaidza/	/fa
Italian: /spagetti/	/espageti/	/supagetti/	/spa
Greek: /sfiŋks/	/esfinxe/	/swфinkwsw/	/sf1
Greek: /sfaira/	/esfera/	(sɯфia)	/st

• **New Words:** may contain marginal sequences





spheal

dwebble

- **PRODUCTION & PERCEPTION ERRORS**
- Speakers struggle to produce illicit sequences
- 97% production accuracy on /#sC/ sequences by English speakers
- $C \in \{f, p, t, k, m, n\}$ (Davidson 2006)

SELECTED REFERENCES:

Hayes & Wilson 2008. A maximum entropy model of phonotactics and phonotactic learning. *Linguistic Inquiry.* Davidson 2006. Phonology, phonetics, or frequency: Influences on the production of non-native sequences. Journal of Phonetics

Gorman 2013. Generative Phonotactics. UPenn Dissertation.

Hyman 1975. Phonology: Theory and Analysis. Harcourt Press. Kabak & Idsardi 2007. Perceptual distortions in the adaptation of English consonant clusters: Syllable structure or consonantal contact constraints? Language and Speech.

Yang 2016. The Price of Linguistic Productivity: How Children Learn to Break the Rules of Language. MIT Press.

LICIT AND MARGINAL PHONOTACTICS: A DIFFERENCE IN PRODUCTIVITY

SARAH PAYNE sarah.payne@stonybrook.edu

FORMALIZING MARGINAL VS. LICIT WITH THE TSP

THE TOLERANCE PRINCIPLE (TSP, YANG 2016):

items and:

- LICIT VS. MARGINAL UNDER THE TOLERANCE PRINCIPLE
 - LICIT ONSETS/CODAS: occur with a sufficiently diverse set of nuclei (under TSP) •
 - MARGINAL ONSETS/CODAS: memorize nuclei they can occur with

The TSP is in the spirit of the EVALUATION METRIC: is a sequence better described as LICIT OR MARGINAL?



MODEL: SEQUENCE-WISE GENERALIZATION LEARNER (SWG)

MOTIVATION & ASSUMPTIONS:

- Phonotactic knowledge represented over syllables
- Representations initially **featurally-underspecified** during acquisition

We present a SYLLABLE-BASED computational model that learns a POSITIVE PHONOTACTIC GRAMMAR categorizing forms as LICIT, MARGINAL, OR ILLICIT.

- **LEARNING ALGORITHM: recursive, feature-based subdivision** to learn phonotactics as increasingly-specific sequences of feature sets
- •
- ullet
- \bullet string with the greatest difference between N and M, and recurse
- If no generalization & no more features to subdivide on, then memorize S as marginal



A process *R* applicable to *N* items is productive iff it is attested applying to *M* of those

 $N-M \leq \theta_N = \frac{N}{\ln N}$

At each step, intersect all sequences in current input to give underspecified sequence S If sufficiently many sequences matching S are licit, add S to set of licit sequences

Otherwise, subdivide the input based on the most frequent feature at the index in the

DATA

• **TRAINING**:

- JUDGMENTS:

RESULTS



FUTURE WORK

• FURTHER COMPARISONS

- this framework?
- **VOWEL SPACES?**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS I am grateful to Jeff Heinz, Jordan Kodner, Charles Yang, Scott Nelson, Salam Khalifa, Felix Fonseca, Kyle Gorman, and Huteng Dai for helpful discussion. This work was supported by the Institute for Advanced Computational Science (IACS) Graduate Research Fellowship and the National Science Foundation (NSF) Graduate Research Fellowship Program under NSF Grant No. 2234683. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the IACS or the NSF.



• CELEX ∩ CMU PRONOUNCING DICTIONARY: ~41k words • Syllabify and extract syllable constituents (Gorman 2013) Phonological Features from Hayes & Wilson 2008

• SCHOLES: complex onsets in monosyllabic nonce words • Binary decisions by 33 seventh graders



 Human judgments on English & other languages Comparison to H&W and other models

• How can we learn SYLLABLE CONTACT CONSTRAINTS in

How does SWG fare on languages with SMALLER

• Prediction: more onsets/codas will pass TSP and be licit because *N* will be smaller